# **Problem of Exotic Hadrons:** view from complex angular momenta

#### Ya.I. Azimov (PNPI)

based on papers with R.A. Arndt, K. Goeke, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman

### The problem of exotic hadrons

«Why are there no strongly bound exotic states..., like those of two quarks and two antiquarks or four quarks and one antiquark?»

H.J. Lipkin (1973)

## Contents

- Exotics : brief overview
- CAM and exotics
- Summary and conclusion

Why may we think that exotic hadrons <u>do</u> exist? Experimental status

> <u>Summary</u> of Lepton-Photon2005: «The ⊖-pentaquark is *not in good health*, but it is *still alive*.»

> > V. Burkert

Why may we think that exotic hadrons <u>do</u> exist? Theoretical reasons

- <u>No</u> general arguments against exotics!
- QCD suggests **no** veto for exotic hadrons
- Any hadron may be viewed as a multi-quark system (*e.g.*, in hard processes). Why could not it have exotic quantum numbers?

Why may we think that exotic hadrons <u>do</u> exist? Theoretical reasons (cont.)

- Calculations in various approaches, as a rule, provide exotic states, though with properties strongly model-dependent (bag model, soliton model, sum rules, lattice, ...)
- Complex angular momenta (CAM) may suggest one more (indirect) argument for existence of exotic hadrons

#### **CAM** and exotics

#### Preliminaries

Take a  $2 \rightarrow 2$  process (begin, for simplicity, with no spins). The amplitude *A* has 2 independent variables (e.g., W - c.m. energy,  $\theta$  - c.m. angle), or 3 invariant variables  $(s=W^2, t \sim z=\cos\theta, u \sim -z; s+t+u=\text{const}).$ Decompose A(s, z) in z into partial waves. Physical partial-wave amplitudes  $f_1(s)$  have integer values of the orbital momentum l.

#### Assumptions :

Amplitudes f<sub>l</sub>(s) admit unambiguous analytical continuation in *l* from integer physical points.

Fulfilled, if the amplitude A(s, z) satisfies dispersion relation (DR) in the momentum transfers *t*, *u* 

(Gribov-Froissart formula, 1961).

DR provides sufficient condition for the continuation .

<u>Necessary</u> conditions are essentially weaker.

DR's are not formally proved

(neither in general QFT, nor in QCD),

but are widely used in phenomenology of strong interactions.

#### Assumptions :

There are no massless hadrons (and no massless exchanges).

Ensures a finite range of interactions and threshold behavior  $\sim k^{2l}$  for elastic amplitudes  $f_l(s)$ at physical (integer) l and  $s \rightarrow s_{\text{th}}$  $(k \rightarrow 0; k$  is the c.m. relative momentum).

GF formula (where it is applicable) provides the same behavior for continued  $f_l(s)$ . For physical amplitudes the elastic unitarity condition is

$$f_l(s) - f_l^*(s) = 2ik f_l(s) f_l^*(s)$$

For continued amplitudes  $f_l(s)$  it takes the form

 $f_{l}(s) - [f_{l*}(s)]^{*} = 2ik f_{l}(s) [f_{l*}(s)]^{*},$ 

<u>inconsistent</u> with the  $k^{2l}$ -behavior at Re l < -1/2(the left-hand side terms  $\sim |k|^{2\text{Re }l}$ , the right-hand side  $\sim |k|^{4\text{Re }l+1}$ ).

The problem was *first presented* and *solved* by Gribov and Pomeranchuk (1962).

Near threshold, reggeons condense to the point l = -1/2, and invalidate the  $k^{2l}$ -behavior at Re l < -1/2. With *R* being the effective interaction radius, the condensing trajectories are

$$\begin{split} l_n(s) &\approx -1/2 + 2 \ i \pi \ n/\ln(-k^2 R^2), \\ k^2 &\to 0, \quad n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm \infty \,. \end{split}$$

When accounting for *spins*, the orbital momentum *l* changes by the total angular momentum *j*.
The threshold condensation of reggeons still exists, with the same structure,
but shifted limiting point (Azimov, 1962)

but shifted limiting point (Azimov, 1962)

 $-1/2 \rightarrow -1/2 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ .

Thus, there are infinite number of reggeons.

Schematic structure of the threshold condensation of reggeons, as seen for the non-relativistic Yukawa potential

(Azimov, Anselm, and Shekhter, 1963)



Reggeon trajectories solve an equation of the form

$$F(j, s) = 0.$$

Every pole of the partial-wave amplitude may be considered in two ways:

- *either* as the reggeon, *i.e.*, the pole in *j*, with position (and residue) dependent on energy *s*,
- *or* as the energy-plane pole in *s* , with position (and residue) dependent on angular momentum *j* .

one-to-one correspondence

between reggeons and energy-plane poles

#### Infinite number of reggeons U Infinite number of energy-plane poles

There is an **infinite "reservoir"** of poles.

<u>Bound state</u> is a pole at the physical sheet of the energy plane.
<u>Resonance</u> is a pole near the physical region of the energy plane.

Main part of energy-plane poles are "hidden" at far Riemann sheets of the energy plane. Investigation of the non-relativistic Yukawa potential  $e^{-\mu r/r}$  shows that the reggeons producing the Gribov-Pomeranchuk condensation, on one side, and bound-state (or resonance) poles, on the other, have the same nature .

They come from the same "reservoir" and, moreover, may be interchanged.

(Azimov, Anselm, and Shekhter, 1963) The limiting transition  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  visualizes the infinite set of Yukawa poles as the infinite set of Coulomb levels . Gribov-Pomeranchuk threshold condensations are independent of quantum numbers.Therefore, the strong interaction *S*-matrix should contain infinite number of energy-plane poles

with any quantum numbers, *both* exotic *and* non-exotic.

The <u>necessary condition</u> for existence of exotics, <u>existence of exotic energy-plane poles</u>, *is satisfied*.

It is now a problem of more detailed dynamics, which of the poles may appear near the physical region, to reveal bound states or resonances.

# Note : CAM are used here differently from traditional usage.

- Usually: begin in the <u>t-channel</u>, construct t-channel partial-wave amplitudes, continue them in j, then obtain result (high-energy asymptotics) for the crossed <u>s-channel</u>.
- Here: begin in the <u>s-channel</u>, construct
   s-channel partial-wave amplitudes, continue them in *j*, then obtain result (energy-plane poles) for the same <u>s-channel</u>.

## Summary

- Under familiar assumption of analyticity, hadronic amplitudes have infinite number of energy-plane poles with *any* quantum numbers, both exotic and non-exotic.
- Can one constrain dynamics so, that *no exotic pole* may approach the physical region?

## Conclusion

«...either these states will be *found* by experimentalists or our confined, quark-gluon theory of hadrons is as yet *lacking* in some fundamental ingredient...»

R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson (1976)