# List of things this talk will discuss:

- Testing the 'cusp' effect seen in the background histogram for the K+K- invariant mass using:
  - Different fixed Gaussian resolutions + fixed phi mass + fixed phi width
    - Sigma = 0.001
    - Sigma = 0.002
    - Sigma = 0.003
    - Sigma = 0.004
    - Sigma = 0.005
    - Sigma = 0.01
    - Sigma = 0.02
    - Sigma = 0.03
  - Fixed BG polynomial value at K+K- threshold + fixed phi mass + fixed phi width
  - Fixed phi width + Free phi mass
  - Fixed BG polynomial value at K+K- threshold + free phi mass
    - + fixed phi width

# Testing the 'cusp' effect:

- Background function: 3<sup>rd</sup> degree Chebyshev polynomial
- Signal function: Voigtian (Non Relativistic Breit-Wigner convoluted with a Gaussian)
- Fixed:
  - Phi Mass
  - Phi Width
  - Phi Resolution (0.001, 0.002. 0.003 ...)
- Free:
  - Polynomial parameters

### Event #4 – Example Fits

#### Sigma = 0.002, ChiSq = 1.23



#### Sigma = 0.004, ChiSq = 1.13



#### Sigma = 0.001, ChiSq = 1.32



Sigma = 0.003, ChiSq = 1.16

temp\_hist



### Event #4 – Example Fits

#### Sigma = 0.01, ChiSq = 1.33



#### Sigma = 0.03, ChiSq = 1.42



#### Sigma = 0.005, ChiSq = 1.14



Sigma = 0.02, ChiSq = 1.47





## QValues

#### Sigma = 0.001



Sigma = 0.003

QValue\_hist







## QValues

Sigma = 0.005



Sigma = 0.02







### Chi<sup>2</sup>/NDF

Sigma = 0.001



Sigma = 0.003





Sigma = 0.002





### Chi^2/NDF

Sigma = 0.005



Sigma = 0.02





Sigma = 0.01





## Signal Events

Sigma = 0.001

Sigma = 0.002



Sigma = 0.003







### Signal Events

Sigma = 0.005





Sigma = 0.02







### **Background Events**

Sigma = 0.001



Sigma = 0.003



Sigma = 0.002





### **Background Events**

Sigma = 0.005





Sigma = 0.02







# Testing the 'cusp' effect:

- Background function: 3<sup>rd</sup> degree Chebyshev polynomial
- Signal function: Voigtian (Non Relativistic Breit-Wigner convoluted with a Gaussian)
- Fixed:
  - Phi Mass
  - Phi Width
  - BG Value at K+K- threshold = 0
- Free:
  - Phi Resolution
  - 3 out of 4 Polynomial Parameters

## Event #4 – Example Fit, ChiSq = 1.13

temp\_hist

Entries 3000 Mean  $1.129 \pm 0.001731$ 50 Std Dev  $0.09479 \pm 0.001224$  $\chi^2$  / ndf 190.3 / 167 pО  $0.4539 \pm 0.0426$ 40 p2  $0.004205 \pm 0.000595$ p5  $4400 \pm 369.4$ 30 p6  $-2781 \pm 168.7$ p7  $453.4 \pm 18.6$ 20 10 0 0.9 1.8 1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.4

## QValue

#### QValue\_hist



### Chi<sup>2</sup>/NDF

#### ChiSq\_hist



## Signal Events

final\_hist



### **Background Events**

bg\_hist



# Testing the 'cusp' effect:

- Background function: 3<sup>rd</sup> degree Chebyshev polynomial
- Signal function: Voigtian (Non Relativistic Breit-Wigner convoluted with a Gaussian)
- Fixed:
  - Phi Width
- Free:
  - Phi Mass
  - Phi Resolution
  - Polynomial Parameters

### Event #4 – Example Fit, ChiSq = 1.18



## QValue

#### QValue\_hist



## Chi^2/NDF

#### ChiSq\_hist



## Signal Events

#### final\_hist



### **Background Events**

bg\_hist



# Testing the 'cusp' effect:

- Background function: 3<sup>rd</sup> degree Chebyshev polynomial
- Signal function: Voigtian (Non Relativistic Breit-Wigner convoluted with a Gaussian)
- Fixed:
  - Phi Width
  - BG value at K+K- threshold = 0
- Free:
  - Phi Mass
  - Phi Resolution
  - 3 out of 4 Polynomial Parameters

## Event #4 – Example Fit, ChiSq = 1.13

temp\_hist



# QValue QValue\_hist Entries 10000 Mean $0.1069 \pm 0.002399$ Std Dev $0.2399 \pm 0.001696$

0.5

0.6

0.7

0.8

0.9

1

 $10^{3}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

10

1

Ο

0.1

0.2

0.3

0.4

## Chi^2/NDF

#### ChiSq\_hist



## Signal Events

final\_hist



### **Background Events**

bg\_hist



### Why I did not test with a Relativistic Breit-Wigner convoluted with a Gaussian:

#### convolution\_function\_0005

