

FSU Nuclear Physics Seminar

Search for Strangeonium and exotics in Photoproduction using CLAS

Mukesh Saini

Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL

November 4, 2011




QCD, Standard Model and Hadronic Physics



Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is theory of the strong interaction (color force).


It describes the interactions of the quarks and gluons making up the hadron

QCD Picture




In Constituent Quark Model, \rightarrow

Color charge!




Meson



Meson Spectroscopy


Meson



$$\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$$

$$P = (-1)^{L+1}$$

$$C = (-1)^{L+S}$$




$$J^{PC} \Big|_{allowed} = 0^{-+}, 0^{++}, 1^{--}, 1^{+-}, 1^{++}, 2^{--}, \dots$$

$$J^{PC} \Big|_{exotic} = 0^{--}, 0^{+-}, 1^{-+}, 2^{+-}, 3^{-+}, \dots$$



Quark Model and beyond

- Free quarks and gluons have not been observed in nature due to confinement.
- QCD predicts exotic hadrons beyond the naive quark model [hybrids, glueballs and multi-quark states]
- Mapping of the meson spectra will help us identify exotic unconventional mesons and decays, to further our insight into soft (Non-perturbative) QCD



Known Strangeonia

Strangeonia



✓ Of the 22 expected resonances, only 7 are well identified

$\eta - \eta'$	ϕ (1020)	h_1 (1387)
f_1 (1426)	f_2' (1525)	ϕ (1680)
ϕ_3 (1850)

Expected Strangeonia spectrum

			J^{PC}	Name	Mass (MeV)
n=1	L=0	S=0	0^{-+}	η, η'	548, 958
		S=1	1^{--}	ϕ	1020
	L=1	S=0	1^{+-}	h_1'	1380
		S=1	0^{++}	f_0'	1500
			1^{++}	f_1'	1530
			2^{++}	f_2'	1525
	L=2	S=0	2^{-+}	η_2	1850
		S=1	1^{--}	ϕ_1	1850
			2^{--}	ϕ_2	1850
			3^{--}	ϕ_3	1854


← Orbital excitations of
($l = 0, s\bar{s}$) meson.

			J^{PC}	Name	Mass (MeV)
n=2	L=0	S=0	0^{-+}	η_s	1415
		S=1	1^{--}	ϕ	1680
	L=1	S=0	1^{+-}	h_1	1850
		S=1	0^{++}	f_0	2000
			1^{++}	f_1	1950
			2^{++}	f_2	2000
n=3	L=0	S=0	0^{-+}	η_s	1950
		S=1	1^{--}	ϕ	2050

← Radial excitations of
($l = 0, s\bar{s}$) meson.


Photoproduction - VMD

- In hadronic interactions, photon beam can be regarded as a superposition of vector mesons (ρ, ω, ϕ) with an important $s\bar{s}$ component - Vector Meson Dominance (VMD).
- $\phi\eta$ channel is the signature decay mode for strangeonium ($s\bar{s}$) states. Interference with non-strange vectors is negligible in this channel.
- $\phi\pi^0$ is an exotic channel due to OZI suppression.




Photoproduction - VMD

- In hadronic interactions, photon beam can be regarded as a superposition of vector mesons (ρ, ω, ϕ) with an important $s\bar{s}$ component - Vector Meson Dominance (VMD).
- $\phi\eta$ channel is the signature decay mode for strangeonium ($s\bar{s}$) states. Interference with non-strange vectors is negligible in this channel.
- $\phi\pi^0$ is an exotic channel due to OZI suppression.



Photoproduction - VMD

- In hadronic interactions, photon beam can be regarded as a superposition of vector mesons (ρ, ω, ϕ) with an important $s\bar{s}$ component - Vector Meson Dominance (VMD).
- $\phi\eta$ channel is the signature decay mode for strangeonium ($s\bar{s}$) states. Interference with non-strange vectors is negligible in this channel.
- $\phi\pi^0$ is an exotic channel due to OZI suppression.




Strangeonia

- η has a significant $n\bar{n}$ component to it, but $\phi\eta$ and $\phi\eta'$ decay modes can only originate from initial $s\bar{s}$ states.
- "Due to the *OZI* rule, the observation of a state with a large branching fraction to $\eta\phi$, $\eta'\phi$ or $\phi\phi$ and small branches to nonstrange final states can serve as a "smoking gun" for an initial $s\bar{s}$ state." - Barnes, Black & Page (Strong decays of Strange Quarkonia).

Why study Strangeonia?

- Due to the intermediate mass of the strange quarks, study of the strangeonium states will serve as a bridge between short and large distance behavior of QCD confinement potential, a study of the transition from light quark sector to the HQET.

$\phi(1680) / X(1750)$



- ◆ e^+e^- production experiments observe the $\phi(1680)$
- ◆ $\phi(1750)$ is cited by PDG under $\phi(1680)$ with a note
- ◆ Focus experiment @ Fermilab has $\sim 11,700$ events for a resonance at $\phi(1750)$
- ◆ Exclusive K^+K^- events
- ◆ Cleanest way to look for this resonance is in the $\phi\eta$ decay


Road-Map

- Select 3 charged tracks identified as - *Proton*, K^+ , K^-
- Apply Energy-Momentum conservation to all the known four-vectors
- Calculate the missing mass four-vector and hence the invariant mass of the missing particle
- Select - η **or** π^0 - in this missing mass distribution
- Add four-vectors for K^+K^- to get their invariant mass and hence identify the ϕ meson
- Select events for the two channels by selecting the respective mesons in the final state

Final State 1. $\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \phi + [\eta]$

Final State 2. $\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \phi + [\pi^0]$


Jefferson Lab




- **CEBAF:**
Continuous **E**lectron **B**eam **A**ccelerator **F**acility,
hosted at
Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility,
Newport News, Virginia
- CEBAF delivers e^- beams to the 3 Halls,
polarised upon request in 5 passes with e^-
Energies up-to 6 GeV (1.2×5)
- Hall-B is the smallest experimental Hall with the
largest detector “CLAS”
- Major upgrades at CEBAF and the Halls for the 12
GeV upgrade as well as addition of a new Hall-D
which will house GLUEX created with meson
spectroscopy as the primary purpose
- Plans for upgrade of CLAS to CLAS12 for the 12
GeV program at JLAB, with new detector
components added and reusing the old where
possible

CLAS subsystems

CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer



CLAS




◆ Skeletal superconducting Toroidal Magnets for CLAS.


◆ CLAS detector during assembly.

g12 - HyCLAS


- 44.2 Days of beam-time over 70 days, 1st April to 9th June, 2008
- Beam current \rightarrow 60-65 nA ; $E_e \rightarrow 5.71$ GeV ; DAQ Rate \rightarrow 8 KHz
- 26.2 billion triggers; Main Trigger \rightarrow 2 prong or more with $E_\gamma \geq 4.4$ GeV, 3 prong with no MOR ...
- 126 TB of raw data
- 250 TB of reconstructed data
- 68 pb^{-1} of photo-production data
- Analysis over 95% of data available




Start Counter – Tags the start time for a track



- Incorporates the independent sector based tracking of CLAS
- g12 pulled ST 90cm back from the center of CLAS to increase acceptance for low t , forward going particles
- ST crucial for picking the right photon and Particle ID



(a) Before



(b) After

Tagger - Tags the Energy and the Timing for the incoming photon





Fig. 23. Hall B photon-tagging system.

Separate your kaons from your pions



Particle Identification

PART Bank

- *Proton*
- K^+
- K^-





Meson ID

- ϕ – Invariant Mass reconstructed from K^+ & K^-
- To Identify ϕ , Select $1010 \text{ MeV} \leq \text{IM}(K^+ K^-) \leq 1030 \text{ MeV}$
- η – Calculated from Missing Mass as “*Beam + Target – Proton – $K^+ – K^-$* ”
- To identify η , Select $510 \text{ MeV} \leq \text{MM} \leq 580 \text{ MeV}$





Cuts

- Event vertex time within 1.002 ns of the Start Counter vertex time
- All particles have the the difference between their measured and calculated beta (with PART bank PID) less than 0.05
- Event vertex is required to be within the Target





Particle Vertex 1




Particle Vertex 2



Particle Beta 1




Particle Beta 2



Observations


- Vertex and Timing Distributions look reasonable
- Beta for $Proton$, K^+ & K^- have no cross-contamination bands
- PID and Cuts employed work reasonably well

Selection of $KK \rightarrow \phi$ Data




proton ϕ η Invariant Masses

Invariant Mass (ϕ [η])




Invariant Mass (Proton [η])




Momentum transfer dependence of $\phi - \eta$ data


Invariant Mass ($\phi[\eta]$) for $t \leq 1.327$



Invariant Mass ($\phi[\eta]$) for $t > 1.327$



ϕ & η Side-Bands




Side-Band Observations:

- ϕ width is 4 MeV and the peak is at 1020 MeV.
- η width is 17 MeV and the peak is at 547 MeV.
- Signal region is chosen to be peak $\pm 2\sigma$.
- $\phi \rightarrow 1012 - 1028 \text{ MeV}$, $\eta \rightarrow 513 - 581 \text{ MeV}$
- Gap of 1σ is used between signal and sideband to minimize loss of ϕ 's.
- Gap of 2σ is used between signal and sideband to minimize loss of η 's.

Subtract events of the sidebands of ϕ from $\phi - \eta$ distribution


Mass_eta_phi_Left_N_Right

LTPlot6
Entries 562



Mass_eta_phi_SideBandSubtracted


LTPlot5
Entries 934



Subtract events of the sidebands of η from $\phi - \eta$ distribution


Mass_phi_eta_Left_N_Right

LTPlot26
Entries 763







Mass_phi_eta_SideBandSubtracted





LTPlot25
Entries 734




Subtract events of the sidebands of η & ϕ from $\phi - \eta$ distribution




$\phi\pi^0$ Side-Bands




ϕ π^0 Sideband Subtraction







Invariant Mass (ϕ $[\pi^0]$)







Mass_pi0_phi_SideBandSubtracted







ω - yield fits



ω - yield fits for $\gamma \geq 4.4$ GeV




ω - yield fits for $\gamma < 4.4$ GeV




ω - yield plots


ω Yield / γ vs Beam Current




ω Yield / γ vs Beam Current in Triggered Range (>4.4 GeV)



Fraction of events Vs Photons



Fraction of events Vs Photons for $\gamma > 3\text{GeV}$



g12 Cross Section Cheat Sheet

Number of Interactions (N_i) \propto Number of Target Particles (N_t)

Number of Interactions (N_i) \propto Number of Beam Photons (N_b)

$$\therefore N_i = \sigma * N_t * N_b$$

where σ is the Cross-Section/Area or interaction probability

Number of Beam Photons calculated using gflux for Beam Energy Range (A-B) GeV

Number of Target Particles (N_t) = Proton Density of LH2 * Volume of Target

Proton Density = $2 * N_A * \text{Density} / \text{Molar Mass}$

$$= 2 * 6.022 * 10^{23} * 0.0708 / 2.016 \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

$$= 0.423 * 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

Target Volume = Area * Length = $0.1257 * 40 = 5.0265 \text{ cm}^3$

$$\sigma = \frac{N_E w}{2 N_B N_A \rho L_T} \quad (1)$$

where,


$$\rho = 0.0708 \text{ gm.cm}^{-3}, \quad L_T = 40 \text{ cm}, \quad N_B = 5.782 * 10^{11},$$

$$N_A = 6.022 * 10^{23}, \quad w = 2.016, \quad N_E = N_{\text{Events}} / \text{Acceptance}.$$

Simulated ρ Events


Beam0_MC_gamp_Generated

Beam0_MC_gamp
Entries: 4500000




Beam1_MC_gamp_Generated

Beam1_MC_gamp
Entries: 849998




Beam2_MC_gamp_Generated

Beam2_MC_gamp
Entries: 850001




Beam3_MC_gamp_Generated

Beam3_MC_gamp
Entries: 850002




Beam4_MC_gamp_Generated

Beam4_MC_gamp
Entries: 849998



Beam5_MC_gamp_Generated

Beam5_MC_gamp
Entries: 1100001




Accepted t-slope ≈ 7.1

Accepted ρ Events


Beam0_MC_Accepted

Beam0_MC
Entries: 290787




Beam1_MC_Accepted

Beam1_MC
Entries: 111098




Beam2_MC_Accepted

Beam2_MC
Entries: 94415




Beam3_MC_Accepted

Beam3_MC
Entries: 51324




Beam4_MC_Accepted

Beam4_MC
Entries: 23052



Beam5_MC_Accepted


Beam5_MC
Entries: 10898



Acceptance for ρ


Beam0_MC_Acc_Acceptance

Beam0_MC_Acc
Entries 29




Beam1_MC_Acc_Acceptance

Beam1_MC_Acc
Entries 11




Beam2_MC_Acc_Acceptance

Beam2_MC_Acc
Entries 9




Beam3_MC_Acc_Acceptance

Beam3_MC_Acc
Entries 5




Beam4_MC_Acc_Acceptance

Beam4_MC_Acc
Entries 2







Beam5_MC_Acc_Acceptance





Beam5_MC_Acc
Entries 1



ρ - events in triggered range for 40 - 70 nA




Expected and Calculated ρ Cross Sections




$\rho\pi^+\pi^-$ & ρ Cross Section as a function of Beam Energy for 60 nA

Expected Cross sections for $\rho\pi^+\pi^-$ exclusive & $\rho\rho$



Calculated Cross sections for $\rho\pi^+\pi^-$ exclusive & $\rho\rho$







Summary for Strangeonium Analysis

- Complete final skimmed dataset is available for analysis
- We have taken a preliminary look at the preferred signature decay mode for strangeonia
- Preliminary Moments analysis has been finished and the PWA analysis for $\phi - \eta$ channel is in progress
- We are trying approaches like side-band subtractions and kinematic fitter to get a better handle on our observations
- Monte Carlo simulations have been done and detector acceptance for different decays are being studied concurrently
- More decay channels for strangeonia like KK^* , K^*K^* and $\phi \eta \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-[\pi^0]$ are being incorporated
- I have scattered pieces of literature written down and intend to collate them into parts of my thesis
- Finish writing by the end of this semester





Summary for yield analysis

- Unless gflux numbers were off by orders of Magnitude earlier, ***which we have verified they are not***, any gflux fix cannot account for more than 25% difference in Yield/Cross Sections
- Any error in this study related to counting ρ 's had erred on the side of over-counting, so the Cross Sections you saw were the most optimistic ones given our anomaly
- Reason for this yield anomaly has to come from the data reconstruction or the MC acceptance or the trigger inefficiency
- The fix made to gflux data for g12 increased the number of good intervals and hence the number of good photons by $\approx 20\%$, and It didn't change the total number of photons
- The new Cross Section values should now be lowered by $\approx 20\%$ as the study only took good intervals into account for counting the number of photons and never threw any event out based on such intervals
- The most optimistic Cross Section was obtained for ρ events for which g12 was off by a factor of ~ 3 at low energies and ~ 4 in the triggered energy range for production Beam Current of 60nA

ϕ SBS



Subtract events of the sidebands of η from $\phi - \eta$ distribution



Check for K^{*+}

