

Review of the Paper “Completeness Rules for Spin Observables in Pseudoscalar Meson Photoproduction”

Priyashree Roy
FSU Weekly Group Meeting

Weekly Group Meeting
August 2015

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Amplitudes and Ambiguities
- 3 Fun Facts

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction

Paper reference - Chiang and Tabakin, PRC 55, 2054 (1997)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction -

- ◇ Total 4 amplitudes corresponding to such reactions.
- ◇ 16 observables, denoted as Ω^α here. In general N^2 observables for N complex amplitudes. These observables can be measured experimentally.
- ◇ The 16 observables are -
 - 4 S type - cross section $I(\theta)$ + 3 single spin observables (Σ, T, P). Σ is related to beam polarization, T is related to target pol., and P is related to recoil pol.
 - 4 beam-target (BT) type observables (G, H, E, F)
 - 4 beam-recoil (BR) type observables (O_x, O_z, C_x, C_z)
 - 4 target-recoil (TR) type observables (T_x, T_z, L_x, L_z)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction

Paper reference - Chiang and Tabakin, PRC 55, 2054 (1997)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction -

- ◇ Total 4 amplitudes corresponding to such reactions.
- ◇ 16 observables, denoted as Ω^α here. In general N^2 observables for N complex amplitudes. These observables can be measured experimentally.
- ◇ The 16 observables are -
 - 4 S type - cross section $I(\theta)$ + 3 single spin observables (Σ, T, P). Σ is related to beam polarization, T is related to target pol., and P is related to recoil pol.
 - 4 beam-target (BT) type observables (G, H, E, F)
 - 4 beam-recoil (BR) type observables (O_x, O_z, C_x, C_z)
 - 4 target-recoil (TR) type observables (T_x, T_z, L_x, L_z)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction

Paper reference - Chiang and Tabakin, PRC 55, 2054 (1997)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction -

- ◇ Total 4 amplitudes corresponding to such reactions.
- ◇ 16 observables, denoted as Ω^α here. In general N^2 observables for N complex amplitudes. These observables can be measured experimentally.
- ◇ The 16 observables are -
 - 4 S type - cross section $I(\theta)$ + 3 single spin observables (Σ, T, P). Σ is related to beam polarization, T is related to target pol., and P is related to recoil pol.
 - 4 beam-target (BT) type observables (G, H, E, F)
 - 4 beam-recoil (BR) type observables (O_x, O_z, C_x, C_z)
 - 4 target-recoil (TR) type observables (T_x, T_z, L_x, L_z)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction

Paper reference - Chiang and Tabakin, PRC 55, 2054 (1997)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction -

- ◇ Total 4 amplitudes corresponding to such reactions.
- ◇ 16 observables, denoted as Ω^α here. In general N^2 observables for N complex amplitudes. These observables can be measured experimentally.
- ◇ The 16 observables are -
 - 4 S type - cross section $I(\theta)$ + 3 single spin observables (Σ, T, P). Σ is related to beam polarization, T is related to target pol., and P is related to recoil pol.
 - 4 beam-target (BT) type observables (G, H, E, F)
 - 4 beam-recoil (BR) type observables (O_x, O_z, C_x, C_z)
 - 4 target-recoil (TR) type observables (T_x, T_z, L_x, L_z)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction

Paper reference - Chiang and Tabakin, PRC 55, 2054 (1997)

Observables in pseudoscalar meson photoproduction -

- ◇ Total 4 amplitudes corresponding to such reactions.
- ◇ 16 observables, denoted as Ω^α here. In general N^2 observables for N complex amplitudes. These observables can be measured experimentally.
- ◇ The 16 observables are -
 - 4 S type - cross section $I(\theta)$ + 3 single spin observables (Σ, T, P). Σ is related to beam polarization, T is related to target pol., and P is related to recoil pol.
 - 4 beam-target (BT) type observables (G, H, E, F)
 - 4 beam-recoil (BR) type observables (O_x, O_z, C_x, C_z)
 - 4 target-recoil (TR) type observables (T_x, T_z, L_x, L_z)

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Amplitudes and Ambiguities
- 3 Fun Facts

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

Can the 4 amplitudes be uniquely determined from the observables ? How many out of the 16 do we need ?

- ◇ It depends on whether these observables depend on each other. If they are completely independent from each other, then to uniquely determine N amplitudes we need $2N - 1$ observables (to find out the 4 magnitudes and 3 relative phases).
- These 16 observables are **linearly independent**.
- However they are **non-linearly dependent on each other**. E.g. -
Linear-quadratic equations : $\Sigma = -TP - T_x L_z + L_x T_z$
Quadratic equations : $C_z O_z + O_x C_x - GE - HF = 0$
Square relations : $G^2 + H^2 + E^2 + F^2 = \sigma^2 - \Sigma^2 - T^2 + P^2$
- Due to the non-linear dependence, many solutions for the amplitudes can simultaneously satisfy the polarization observable measurements.

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

Can the 4 amplitudes be uniquely determined from the observables ? How many out of the 16 do we need ?

- ◇ It depends on whether these observables depend on each other. If they are completely independent from each other, then to uniquely determine N amplitudes we need $2N - 1$ observables (to find out the 4 magnitudes and 3 relative phases).
- These 16 observables are **linearly independent**.
- However they are **non-linearly dependent on each other**. E.g. -
Linear-quadratic equations : $\Sigma = -TP - T_x L_z + L_x T_z$
Quadratic equations : $C_z O_z + O_x C_x - GE - HF = 0$
Square relations : $G^2 + H^2 + E^2 + F^2 = \sigma^2 - \Sigma^2 - T^2 + P^2$
- Due to the non-linear dependence, many solutions for the amplitudes can simultaneously satisfy the polarization observable measurements.

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

Can the 4 amplitudes be uniquely determined from the observables ? How many out of the 16 do we need ?

- ◇ It depends on whether these observables depend on each other. If they are completely independent from each other, then to uniquely determine N amplitudes we need $2N - 1$ observables (to find out the 4 magnitudes and 3 relative phases).
- These 16 observables are **linearly independent**.
- However they are **non-linearly dependent on each other**. E.g. -
 - Linear-quadratic equations** : $\Sigma = -TP - T_x L_z + L_x T_z$
 - Quadratic equations** : $C_z O_z + O_x C_x - GE - HF = 0$
 - Square relations** : $G^2 + H^2 + E^2 + F^2 = \sigma^2 - \Sigma^2 - T^2 + P^2$
- Due to the non-linear dependence, many solutions for the amplitudes can simultaneously satisfy the polarization observable measurements.

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

Can the 4 amplitudes be uniquely determined from the observables ? How many out of the 16 do we need ?

- ◇ It depends on whether these observables depend on each other. If they are completely independent from each other, then to uniquely determine N amplitudes we need $2N - 1$ observables (to find out the 4 magnitudes and 3 relative phases).
- These 16 observables are **linearly independent**.
- However they are **non-linearly dependent on each other**. E.g. -
Linear-quadratic equations : $\Sigma = -TP - T_x L_z + L_x T_z$
Quadratic equations : $C_z O_z + O_x C_x - GE - HF = 0$
Square relations : $G^2 + H^2 + E^2 + F^2 = \sigma^2 - \Sigma^2 - T^2 + P^2$
- Due to the non-linear dependence, many solutions for the amplitudes can simultaneously satisfy the polarization observable measurements.

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

Can the 4 amplitudes be uniquely determined from the observables ? How many out of the 16 do we need ?

- ◇ It depends on whether these observables depend on each other. If they are completely independent from each other, then to uniquely determine N amplitudes we need $2N - 1$ observables (to find out the 4 magnitudes and 3 relative phases).
- These 16 observables are **linearly independent**.
- However they are **non-linearly dependent on each other**. E.g. -
Linear-quadratic equations : $\Sigma = -TP - T_x L_z + L_x T_z$
Quadratic equations : $C_z O_z + O_x C_x - GE - HF = 0$
Square relations : $G^2 + H^2 + E^2 + F^2 = \sigma^2 - \Sigma^2 - T^2 + P^2$
- Due to the non-linear dependence, many solutions for the amplitudes can simultaneously satisfy the polarization observable measurements.

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

So, how many measurements do we need ? And are they any particular set of measurements ?

- ◇ The classic Barker, Donnachie and Storrow (**BDS**) **paper** states "5 double spin observables (no four of them should belong to the same set of BT, BR or TR) + the four S type measurements are needed to determine all amplitudes w/o discrete ambiguities" - **total 9 carefully chosen observables.**
- ◇ **This paper** says that "The four S types + four appropriately chosen double spin observables suffice to resolve all ambiguities" - **total 8 carefully chosen observables.**

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

So, how many measurements do we need ? And are they any particular set of measurements ?

- ◇ The classic Barker, Donnachie and Storrow (**BDS**) **paper** states "5 double spin observables (no four of them should belong to the same set of BT, BR or TR) + the four S type measurements are needed to determine all amplitudes w/o discrete ambiguities" - **total 9 carefully chosen observables.**
- ◇ **This paper** says that "The four S types + four appropriately chosen double spin observables suffice to resolve all ambiguities" - **total 8 carefully chosen observables.**

Question 1 - Unique determination of the 4 amplitudes ?

So, how many measurements do we need ? And are they any particular set of measurements ?

- ◇ The classic Barker, Donnachie and Storrow (**BDS**) **paper** states "5 double spin observables (no four of them should belong to the same set of BT, BR or TR) + the four S type measurements are needed to determine all amplitudes w/o discrete ambiguities" - **total 9 carefully chosen observables.**
- ◇ **This paper** says that "The four S types + four appropriately chosen double spin observables suffice to resolve all ambiguities" - **total 8 carefully chosen observables.**

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Relation between the amplitudes and the observables -

- ◇ In 4X4 dimension, Gamma (Γ) matrices are used since they are linearly independent.
- ◇ We can either use helicity or transversity basis. The matrices are labelled Γ in the former and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the latter basis.
- ◇ All 16 observables can be expressed as -
$$\Omega^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_i^* \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha H_j = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^\alpha b_j, \alpha \text{ goes from 1 to 16}$$

where, $H_i \rightarrow$ complex helicity amplitudes

$b_i \rightarrow$ complex transversity amplitudes

$\Omega^\alpha \rightarrow$ measured observable

Because of its form, this formulation is called "Bilinear Helicity Product".

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Relation between the amplitudes and the observables -

- ◇ In 4X4 dimension, Gamma (Γ) matrices are used since they are linearly independent.
- ◇ We can either use helicity or transversity basis. The matrices are labelled Γ in the former and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the latter basis.
- ◇ All 16 observables can be expressed as -
$$\Omega^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_i^* \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha H_j = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^\alpha b_j, \alpha \text{ goes from 1 to 16}$$

where, $H_i \rightarrow$ complex helicity amplitudes

$b_i \rightarrow$ complex transversity amplitudes

$\Omega^\alpha \rightarrow$ measured observable

Because of its form, this formulation is called "Bilinear Helicity Product".

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Relation between the amplitudes and the observables -

- ◇ In 4X4 dimension, Gamma (Γ) matrices are used since they are linearly independent.
- ◇ We can either use helicity or transversity basis. The matrices are labelled Γ in the former and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the latter basis.
- ◇ All 16 observables can be expressed as -

$$\Omega^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_i^* \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha H_j = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^\alpha b_j, \alpha \text{ goes from 1 to 16}$$

where, $H_i \rightarrow$ complex helicity amplitudes

$b_i \rightarrow$ complex transversity amplitudes

$\Omega^\alpha \rightarrow$ measured observable

Because of its form, this formulation is called "Bilinear Helicity Product".

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Relation between the amplitudes and the observables -

- ◇ In 4X4 dimension, Gamma (Γ) matrices are used since they are linearly independent.
- ◇ We can either use helicity or transversity basis. The matrices are labelled Γ in the former and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in the latter basis.
- ◇ All 16 observables can be expressed as -

$$\Omega^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} H_i^* \Gamma_{ij}^\alpha H_j = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^\alpha b_j, \alpha \text{ goes from 1 to 16}$$

where, $H_i \rightarrow$ complex helicity amplitudes

$b_i \rightarrow$ complex transversity amplitudes

$\Omega^\alpha \rightarrow$ measured observable

Because of its form, this formulation is called "Bilinear Helicity Product".

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Relation between the amplitudes and the observables - an example -

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} b_1^* & b_2^* & b_3^* & b_4^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ b_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \text{Im}(-b_1 b_3^* - b_2 b_4^*) \end{aligned}$$

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities -

- ◇ This paper assumes that we always measure the 4 S type observables, $(I(\theta), \Sigma, T, P)$. They are also labelled as $(\Omega^1, \Omega^4, \Omega^{10}, \Omega^{12})$.
 $\Omega^m = b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^m b_j$, $m = 1, 4, 10, 12$
- ◇ Then, if there exists any linear or antilinear transformation such that these observables remain invariant under the transformation, then the amplitudes can't be uniquely determined.
- Linear transformation L : $b'_i = L_{ij} b_j$. If L commutes with the Γ matrices that are related to the measured observables, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha L = L \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha,$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

- Antilinear transformation A : $b'_i = A_{ij} B_j^*$. If A satisfies

$$(A^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha A)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities -

- ◇ This paper assumes that we always measure the 4 S type observables, $(I(\theta), \Sigma, T, P)$. They are also labelled as $(\Omega^1, \Omega^4, \Omega^{10}, \Omega^{12})$.
 $\Omega^m = b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^m b_j$, $m = 1, 4, 10, 12$
- ◇ Then, if there exists any linear or antilinear transformation such that these observables remain invariant under the transformation, then the amplitudes can't be uniquely determined.
- Linear transformation L : $b'_i = L_{ij} b_j$. If L commutes with the Γ matrices that are related to the measured observables, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha L = L \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha,$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

- Antilinear transformation A : $b'_i = A_{ij} B_j^*$. If A satisfies

$$(A^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha A)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities -

- ◇ This paper assumes that we always measure the 4 S type observables, $(I(\theta), \Sigma, T, P)$. They are also labelled as $(\Omega^1, \Omega^4, \Omega^{10}, \Omega^{12})$.
 $\Omega^m = b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^m b_j, m = 1, 4, 10, 12$
- ◇ Then, if there exists any linear or antilinear transformation such that these observables remain invariant under the transformation, then the amplitudes can't be uniquely determined.
- Linear transformation L : $b'_i = L_{ij} b_j$. If L commutes with the Γ matrices that are related to the measured observables, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha L = L \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha,$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

- Antilinear transformation A : $b'_i = A_{ij} B_j^*$. If A satisfies

$$(A^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha A)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities -

- ◇ This paper assumes that we always measure the 4 S type observables, $(I(\theta), \Sigma, T, P)$. They are also labelled as $(\Omega^1, \Omega^4, \Omega^{10}, \Omega^{12})$.
 $\Omega^m = b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^m b_j$, $m = 1, 4, 10, 12$
- ◇ Then, if there exists any linear or antilinear transformation such that these observables remain invariant under the transformation, then the amplitudes can't be uniquely determined.
- Linear transformation L : $b'_i = L_{ij} b_j$. If L commutes with the Γ matrices that are related to the measured observables, i.e.,

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha L = L \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha,$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

- Antilinear transformation A : $b'_i = A_{ij} B_j^*$. If A satisfies

$$(A^\dagger \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha A)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha$$

then the observables will remain unchanged under this transformation.

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities example -

- ◇ Assume that we measure (G, F) (BT type), (O_z, C_x) (BR type) and T_x (TR type) on top of the 4 S type measurements.
- In this case, there exists a transformation, $b'_i = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^6 b_j^*$, $b_i'^* = b_j \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{6*}$ such that the above mentioned 9 observables remain unchanged. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{1}{2} b_i'^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_m \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^{6*} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^6 b_k^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_m (\tilde{\Gamma}^{6\dagger} \tilde{\Gamma}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^6)_{km}^T b_k^* \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\tilde{\Gamma}^{6\dagger} \tilde{\Gamma}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^6)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^3$, Antilinear transformation
therefore, $G = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j$

i.e., both b_i' and b_i solutions for the transversity amplitudes give the same observable G. The same is true for F, O_z , C_x , T_x , leading to an ambiguity.

- Note : the BDS rule is proved wrong here !

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities example -

- ◇ Assume that we measure (G, F) (BT type), (O_z, C_x) (BR type) and T_x (TR type) on top of the 4 S type measurements.
- In this case, there exists a transformation, $b'_i = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^6 b_j^*$, $b_i'^* = b_j \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{6*}$ such that the above mentioned 9 observables remain unchanged. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{1}{2} b_i'^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_m \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^{6*} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^6 b_k^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_m (\tilde{\Gamma}^{6\dagger} \tilde{\Gamma}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^6)_{km}^T b_k^* \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\tilde{\Gamma}^{6\dagger} \tilde{\Gamma}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^6)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^3$, Antilinear transformation

therefore, $G = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j$

i.e., both b_i' and b_i solutions for the transversity amplitudes give the same observable G. The same is true for F, O_z, C_x, T_x , leading to an ambiguity.

- Note : the BDS rule is proved wrong here !

Question 2 - What are discrete ambiguities and the relations between amplitudes and observables ?

Discrete ambiguities example -

- ◇ Assume that we measure (G, F) (BT type), (O_z, C_x) (BR type) and T_x (TR type) on top of the 4 S type measurements.
- In this case, there exists a transformation, $b'_i = \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^6 b_j^*$, $b_i'^* = b_j \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^{6*}$ such that the above mentioned 9 observables remain unchanged. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \frac{1}{2} b_i'^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_m \tilde{\Gamma}_{im}^{6*} \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^6 b_k^* \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b_m (\tilde{\Gamma}^{6\dagger} \tilde{\Gamma}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^6)^T_{km} b_k^* \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\tilde{\Gamma}^{6\dagger} \tilde{\Gamma}^3 \tilde{\Gamma}^6)^T = \tilde{\Gamma}^3$, Antilinear transformation

therefore, $G = \frac{1}{2} b_i^* \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^3 b_j$

i.e., both b_i' and b_i solutions for the transversity amplitudes give the same observable G. The same is true for F, O_z, C_x, T_x , leading to an ambiguity.

- Note : the BDS rule is proved wrong here !

Sets of Observables that Resolve all Ambiguities

- ◇ The authors believe that all other transformations can be constructed from the L and A transformations that they have discussed in the paper.
- ◇ Based on the L and A transformations discussed in the paper, they claim that 8 carefully chosen observables resolve all ambiguities. See "observables.pdf" for that.

Sets of Observables that Resolve all Ambiguities

- ◇ The authors believe that all other transformations can be constructed from the L and A transformations that they have discussed in the paper.
- ◇ Based on the L and A transformations discussed in the paper, they claim that 8 carefully chosen observables resolve all ambiguities. See "observables.pdf" for that.

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Amplitudes and Ambiguities
- 3 Fun Facts**

Fun Facts

- ◇ The Γ matrices corresponding to the 4 S-type measurements are diagonal. Hence, these measurements are functions of the magnitudes of the amplitudes only.
- ◇ Fierz relations - they are a convenient tool to give relations and bounds on observables. Some interesting facts that they show are -
 - If we know the 4 S-type observables and 3 double-spin observables from any one set (BT, BR or TR) then the 4th observable in that set is redundant and can be calculated from them.
 - The Fierz relations also show that the magnitude of the single spin observables (Σ, T, P) should be ≤ 1.0 .

Fun Facts

- ◇ The Γ matrices corresponding to the 4 S-type measurements are diagonal. Hence, these measurements are functions of the magnitudes of the amplitudes only.
- ◇ Fierz relations - they are a convenient tool to give relations and bounds on observables. Some interesting facts that they show are -
 - If we know the 4 S-type observables and 3 double-spin observables from any one set (BT, BR or TR) then the 4th observable in that set is redundant and can be calculated from them.
 - The Fierz relations also show that the magnitude of the single spin observables (Σ, T, P) should be ≤ 1.0 .

Fun Facts

- ◇ The Γ matrices corresponding to the 4 S-type measurements are diagonal. Hence, these measurements are functions of the magnitudes of the amplitudes only.
- ◇ Fierz relations - they are a convenient tool to give relations and bounds on observables. Some interesting facts that they show are -
 - If we know the 4 S-type observables and 3 double-spin observables from any one set (BT, BR or TR) then the 4th observable in that set is redundant and can be calculated from them.
 - The Fierz relations also show that the magnitude of the single spin observables (Σ, T, P) should be ≤ 1.0 .

Fun Facts

- ◇ The Γ matrices corresponding to the 4 S-type measurements are diagonal. Hence, these measurements are functions of the magnitudes of the amplitudes only.
- ◇ Fierz relations - they are a convenient tool to give relations and bounds on observables. Some interesting facts that they show are -
 - If we know the 4 S-type observables and 3 double-spin observables from any one set (BT, BR or TR) then the 4th observable in that set is redundant and can be calculated from them.
 - The Fierz relations also show that the magnitude of the single spin observables (Σ, T, P) should be ≤ 1.0 .